Vortex dynamics induced by scanning SQUID susceptometry

Order parameter



Supercurrent density



Logan Bishop-Van Horn, Eli Mueller, & Kam Moler Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

APS March Meeting 2023, Las Vegas, NV, Session K27, 2023.03.07

Stanford University



Vorticity







Scanning SQUID susceptometers measure the local magnetic response of superconductors

Field coil-pickup loop mutual inductance: $M = \Phi_{\rm PL} / I_{\rm FC}$



Stanford University

- Brought close to sample surface.
- Superconducting sample screens applied field, reducing M.



Rev. Sci. Instrum. 72, 2361 (2001) Rev. Sci. Instrum. 79, 053704 (2008) Phys. Rev. B 85, 224518 (2012) Rev. Sci. Instrum. 87, 093702 (2016)













2D time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) simulation, $\xi = 0.9 \mu m$, $\lambda = 1.35 \mu m$, $I_{FC} = 2.5 m A$

Simulation method: arXiv:2302.03812 (2023) [and references therein]; py-tdgl.readthedocs.io





Stanford University







Stanford University









Stanford University



Stanford University



Simulation captures the observed demodulated magnetic response



Stanford University











- Scanning SQUID susceptometry + TDGL modeling enables local studies of vortex dynamics resolved at the single-vortex level
- Local approach is complementary to global AC susceptibility and transport, which can be dominated by the surface barrier
- Can be easily extended to study inhomogeneous 2D superconductors, engineered pinning, etc.





Ingredients for modeling vortex dynamics induced by SQUID susceptometry

- 1. Model field applied to sample by SQUID for a given I_{FC}
- 2. Model sample response to applied field
- 3. Calculate the flux that the SQUID sees, $\Phi_{\rm PL}$, due to $\mathbf{J}_{\rm S}$ in the sample
- 4. Demodulate $\Phi_{PL}(t)$ over a full AC cycle to get M = M' + iM''



arXiv:2302.03812 (2023); py-tdgl.readthedocs.io

Demodulated magnetic response
$$M = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{I_{\rm FC,pk}} \int \Phi_{\rm PL}(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt = M' + iM''$$



Magnetic response near a lithographically defined defect



Stanford University





pyTDGL: Time-dependent Ginzburg Landau in Python



U

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7618841

arXiv:2302.03812 (2023); py-tdgl.readthedocs.io



Stanford University

$$\frac{1}{2^{2}|\psi|^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+i\mu+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\frac{\partial|\psi|^{2}}{\partial t}\right)\psi=(\epsilon-|\psi|^{2})\psi+(\nabla-i\mathbf{A})\psi$$

$$\nabla^{2}\mu=\nabla\cdot\operatorname{Im}[\psi^{*}(\nabla-i\mathbf{A})\psi]=\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_{s}$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{r},t): \text{ Complex order parameter}$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{r},t): \text{ Electric scalar potential}$$

 $\mathbf{J}_{s}(\mathbf{r}, t)$: Supercurrent density $\mathbf{J}_{n}(\mathbf{r},t) = \nabla \mu$: Normal current density $\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) = T_c(\mathbf{r})/T - 1$: Local critical temperature $\gamma \propto au_E \Delta_0$: Inelastic scattering (vortex viscosity)

> Kramer, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (15) (1978) Watts-Tobin, et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. 42 (5) (1981) Gropp, et al., J. Comput. Phys. 123 (2) (1996) Du, et al., SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 35, 3 (1998) M. Jonsson, Ph.D. thesis, KTH (2022) LBVH, arXiv:2302.03812 (2023)





Computer Physics Communications Volume 280, November 2022, 108464

SuperScreen: An open-source package for simulating the magnetic response of twodimensional superconducting devices a, a a

Logan Bishop-Van Horn^{a c} 2 🖾 , Kathryn A. Moler^{a b c} 🖂



Stanford University



arXiv:2203.13388 (2022), superscreen.readthedocs.io

Khapaev, et al., 2003 Supercond. Sci. Technol. 16 24 (2002) Brandt & Clem, Phys. Rev. B 69, 184509 (2004) Brandt, Phys. Rev. B 72, 024529 (2005) Kirtley, et al., Supercond. Sci. Technol. 29 124001 (2016) Kirtley, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 87, 093702 (2016) LBVH & K. Moler, Comp. Phys. Comm. 280, 108464 (2022)